## THE KITCHEN

FOR THOSE WHO KNOW THE KITCHEN IN ITS CURRENT SPACE, WE WOULD LIKE TO ADD A FEW NOTES ON ITS CRIGIN, LOCATION AND CPERATION FROM SPRING 1971 TO FALL 1973.

THE "CLD KITCHEN" WAS LOCATED AT THE MERCER STREET ENTRANCE OF THE "BROADWAY CENTRAL HOTEL" IN THE "MERCER ART CENTER". A CONGLOMERATE OF THEATRES ADAPTED FROM THE CATERING- AND BALL-ROOMS OF THE HOTEL. OUR SPACE WAS A FORMER KITCHEN.

THE TERMINATION OF THE MERCER ARTS CENTER WAS THE TOTAL COLLAPSE OF THE BROADWAY CENTRAL ROTEL IN AUGUST OF '73.

SHORTLY BEFORE THIS CATASTROPHE, THE DIRECTORSHIP HAD BEEN TRANSFERRED TO BOB STEARNS, AND THE "NEW KITCHEN" MOVED TO ITS CURRENT LOCATION ON WOGSTER STREET.

THE "GLD KITCHEN" WAS FORMULATED BY CONTRIBUTIONS OF MANY PEOPLE, NAMELY ANDY MANNIK, SIA AND MICHAEL TSCHUDIN, REYS CHATHAM, SERIDHAR BAPAT, DIMITRI DEVYATKIN AND LATER BY JIM BURTON AND BOB STEARNS, ALL OF WHOM HELPED RUN THE DAILY OPERATIONS AND PROGRAMMING. A PARTICULAR CREDIT FOR THE THREE ANNUAL FESTIVALS: THE VIDEO FESTIVAL, THE COMPUTER FESTIVAL AND THE WOMENOS VIDEO FESTIVAL, SHOULD BE GIVEN TO SHRIDHAR, DIMITRI AND SUSAN MILANC RESPECTIVELY. HOWARD WISE THROUGH "ELECTRONIC ARTS INTERMIX" PROVIDED FOR US THE ADMINISTRATIVE UMBRELLA, WITHOUT WHICH WE COULD NOT HAVE EXISTED.

EVENTUALLY, THE FUNDING BY THE STATE CONCIL ON THE ARTS HELPED TO SECURE THE RENT, AND A FURTHER CONTINUATION.

k sk.

SINCE WE STARTED WORKING WITH VIDEO WE KNEW, WE HAD AN AUDIENCE. PEOPLE WOULD GATHER IN OUR FOME. FRIENDS, AND FRIENDS OF FRIENDS WOULD COME ALMOST DAILY. THE TRANSITION BECAME INEVITABLE. WE HAD TO GO FROM A PRIVATE PLACE, OUR LOFT, TO A PUBLIC ONE.

IN MANY WAYS, WE LIKED THE MERCER ARTS CENTER. IT WAS CULTURALLY AND ARTISTICALLY A POLLUTED PLACE. IT COULD DO HIGH ART AND IT COULD PRODUCE AVERAGE TRASH. WE WERE INTERESTED IN CERTAIN DECADENT ASPECTS OF AMERICA, THE PHENGMENA OF THE TIME; UNDERGROUND ROCK AND ROLL, HOMOSEXUAL THEATER, AND THE REST OF THAT ILLEGITIMATE CULTURE. IN THE SAME WAY WE WERE CURIOUS ABOUT MORE PURITANICAL CONCEPTS OF ART INSPIRED BY MOLUHAN AND BUCKMINSTER FULLER. IT SEEMED A STRANGE AND UNITED FRONT - AGAINST THE ESTABLISHMENT.

THE MUSIC IN PARTICULAR CARRIED A SIMILAR KIND OF SCHISM; ON THE ONE HAND IT WAS TECHNOLOGICAL, REPRESENTED BY PEOPLE WORKING WITH SYNTHESIZERS OR CERTAIN STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS OF SOUND, ON THE OTHER PAND, IT WAS AN ALMOST

THEATRICAL REJECTION OF ESTABLISHED MUSICAL PERFORMING CON-VENTIONS. IT WAS DIFFICULT TO SEPARATE THESE TENDENCIES WITHIN NEW MUSIC.

OUR PERSONAL INTEREST WAS IN PERFORMING VIDEO. VERY SOON WE UNDERSTOOD THE GENERIC RELATIONSHIP OF VIDEO TO OTHER ELECTRONIC ARTS, AND THIS REALIZATION BECAME OUR GUIDING POLICY.

TO US IT WAS DIFFICULT TO BECOME ESTABLISHMENT. WE DID NOT WANT TO ADMINISTER, OR HAVE AN OFFICE, OR EVEN A PHONE. THERE WAS A PAY PHONE BY THE DOOR. OUR IDEA OF PROGRAMMING WAS NOT TO SELECT OR CURATE, BUT TO MEDIATE AND ACCOMMODATE. NO GNE WAS TURNED DOWN AND NO ONE WAS SERVED EITHER, SINCE THERE WAS NO STAFF. PEOPLE ARGUND WERE CREATIVE ARTISTS, COLLEAGUES. THE PERFORMERS WOULD BRING THEIR OWN CREW, THEIR OWN EQUIPMENT AND THEIR OWN AUDIENCE. AT THE END OF THE EVENING THE AUDIENCE WOULD HELP STACK CHAIRS, AND SWEEP THE FLOOR. SOME ARTISTS INSISTED ON SHOWING FOR FREE, BUT IF THERE WAS A DONATION, THE ARTIST HAD A CHOICE TO COLLECT IT, SPLIT IT OR LEAVE IT TO US. ALMOST EVERYBODY LET US KEEP THE BOX, WHICH PAID FOR THE MONTHLY CALENDAR AND PETTY CASH.

IT WAS THIS LOOSE ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENT THAT LET PEOPLE PARTICIPATE SPIRITUALLY IN THE DIRECTORSHIP. SG. IF THERE WAS ANY VIRTUE IN OUR ARRANGEMENT, IT WAS THE PARTICIPA-TION. ONCE A PLACE IS WELL-ADMINISTERED IT BECOMES A VICTIM OF ITS OWN WELL-WORKING. IT INCLUDES OR EXCLUDES. SEEKS ITS HIER-ARCHY OF QUALITIES AND EVENTUALLY BECOMES AN ESTABLISHED IDEA. NOT ALWAYS ABLE TO PERMUTATE WITH THE NEEDS OF TIME. THERE IS A SELF-PRESERVING INSTINCT WITHIN EVERY CREATIVE PERSON; PREFER-PING THE SENSE OF CREATIVE FREEDOM TO BEING BOUND TO A SUCCESS-FUL MODEL. EVERY INSTINCT WITHIN THE DAILY OPERATION IS SUPERB-LY IMPORTANT. THE KITCHEN WAS ONLY AS SUCCESSFUL AS THE ARTIST OF THAT PARTICULAR DAY. IT WAS REBORN EVERY 24 HOURS. OF COURSE THERE WERE CATASTROPHES. CNLY AN ENVIRONMENT CREATIVELY SECURE CAN AFFORD THEM. WE WOULD NOT HAVE HAD A TELEPATRIC CONCERT FROM BOSTON, IF THE EVENT WAS BEING ADVERTISED MONTES IN AD-VANCE, AND THE ARTIST GETTING A FEE.

THE IMPULSE TO CREATE A CONCEPT SUCH AS THE KITCHEN WAS, SHOULD NOT BE PERCEIVED AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDRAISING INITIATIVE. LOCKING BACK, WE LIVED IN A UNIQUE SITUATION WHEN AN ALTERNATE CULTURAL MODEL HAD CULMINATED INTO AN ABILITY TO PERFORM ITS CONTENT - WHATEVER THAT MEANT. SUDDENLY IT WAS READY AND EAGER TO EXPRESS ITSELF. WE WENT INTO THIS VENTURE WITH A SIMPLE AND INNOCENT BELIEF THAT THIS: ACTIVITY, SO RELEVANT TO US, ALSO WAS OF INTEREST TO OTHERS. AS TWO NEWCOMERS, WE WERE LUCKY TO OBSERVE AND PARTICIPATE SO INTENSELY IN THE BIZZARRE CULTURE OF THAT TIME.

THE VASULKAS

PCAY

Took of the state of the state

I THINK MUSIC IN PARTICULAR CARRIED THE SAME KIND OF SCHISM AS I DESCRIBED IN MY PERSONAL UNDERSTANDING OF THAT PERIOD OF ART. ON THE ONE FAND IT WAS TECHNOLOGICAL REPRESENTED BY PEOPLE WORKING EITHER WITH SYNTHESIZED SOUND, PURE ANALYSIS OF SCUNDS, LIKE LAMONTE YOUNG, OR CERTAIN STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATION OF SOUND. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT WAS THE ALMOST THEATRICAL REJECTION OF ESTABLISHED MUSICAL PERFORMING CONVENTIONS. IT WAS IN A WAY AN EXTENSION OF THE EUROPEAN AVANT-GARDE OR FLUXUS THAT EVENTUALLY MANIFESTED IN THIS PECULIAR FORM. IT WAS HARD TO SEPARATE THESE TWO TENDENCIES WITHIN NEW MUSIC. WE PREGERRED ELECTRONIC IMAGE OR SCUND MAKING, AND THAT WAS OUR PRIMARY INTEREST, BUT WE COULD TOLERATE GREAT IMPURITIES AND LOCK AT THEM AS THE CULTURE OF THE TIME. AN ALTERNATE CULTURE . CRYSTALIZED AND STRONG. IT DID NOT MAKE SENSE TO DISCRIMINATE EACH OF THESE GROUPS GOT TO REGARD THE KITCHEN AS THEIR, I WAS SURPRISED ONCE TO HEAR SOME MUSICIANS REFER TO THE KITCHEN AS "THEIR", I TECUGHT IT WAS MINE! SO ALTHOUGH THERE WAS A LOT OF INTER-ACTIONS BETWEEN SOME DISCIPLINES. THERE WAS ALSO SOMETIMES A TOTAL MUTUAL UNAWARENESS, BETWEEN SAY MUSIC AND VIDEG. EVENTUALLY, WE FOUND THE KIND OF VIDEG, WE WERE INTE-RESTED IN QUITE NEGLECTED, WE WERE CURIOUS ABOUT THE WORKS OF OUR COLLEAGUES. SO WE CREANISED A MONTH LONG FESTIVAL IN JUNE 1972 AND AGAIN IN 173. THERE HAD BEEN SIMILAR TYPE OPEN FESTIVALS XA YEAR EARLIER, ONE ORGANISED BY VIDEO FREE AMERICA IN SAN FRANCISCO, THE OTHER BY VIDEO EXCHANGE AT WESTBETH, NEW YORK, THE ONLY CURATORIAL FESTIVAL YET, OCCURRED ALSO IN '71 AT WHITNEY. ALTHOUGH OUR FESTIVALS WERE OPEN, THERE WAS A CURIOUS LACK OF WOMEN PARTICIPANTS. OTHER WISE SO NUMEROUS AND ACTIVE IN VIDEO RIGHT FROM THE BEGINNING. I ASKED SUSAN MILANG TO ORGANIZE "THE WOMEN VIDEO FESTIVAL" WHICH LATER WAS MOVED TO THE WOMAN INTERART CENTER. THE THIRD TYPE OF ANNUAL FESTIVAL WAS THE COMPUTER FESTIVAL INITIATED BY DIMITRI DEVYATKIN.

TO ME IT WAS IMPORTANT NOT TO BECOME AN ESTABLISHMENT, I DID NOT WANT TO ADMINISTER, OR HAVE AN OFFICE, OR EVEN A PHONE. THERE WAS A PAY PHONE BY THE DOCR. OUR IDEA OF PROGRAMMING WAS NOT TO SELECT OR CURATE BUT TO MEDIATE AND ACCOMEDATE. NOBODY WAS TURNED DOWN AND NOBODY WAS SERVICED EITHER, SINCE THERE WAS NO STAFF. EVERYBODY AROUND WAS A CREATIVE ARTIST, A COLLEAGUE. THE PERFORMERS WOULD BRING THEIR OWN CREW, SOME OF THEIR OWN EQUIPMENT, MAKE THEIR OWN POSTERS AND PUBLICITY-BRING IN THEIR OWN AUDIENCE. AT THE END OF THE EVENING THE AUDIENCE WOULD HELP STACK THE CHAIRS, AND SWEEP THE FLOOR. MONEY WE DID NOT HAVE TO ADMINISTER, SINCE THERE WERE NON. LOT OF ARTISTS WANTED TO SHOW FOR FREE, BUT IF THERE WAS A DONATION

THE ARTIST HAD A CHOISE TO COLLECT IT HIM/HERSELF, SPLIT IT OR LEAVE IT TO US. ALMOST EVERYBODY LET US KEEP THE BOX, WHICH PAID FOR THE MONTHLY CALENDAR AND PETTY CASH. ONLY AFTER WE RAISED SOME MONEY FROM THE FOUNDATIONS, DID THE BOOKKEEPING CREEP IN.

IT WAS THIS LOOSE ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENT THAT LET PEOPLE PARTICIPATE SPIRITUALLY IN THE DIRECTOR-SHIP. I THINK THAT SERIGUS ADMINISTRATION BRINGS A TOTAL OPPOSITE TO A DYNAMICALLY CREATIVE PERFORMING SPACE LIKE THE KITCHEN. ANARCHY OR LACK OF STRUCTURE BREEDS THE CREATIVE INITIATIVE. SG IF THERE WAS ANY VIRTUE IN OUR ARRANGEMENT, IT WAS THE NON-STRUCTURAL, THE PARTICIPATION. ONCE A PLACE IS WELL-ADMINISTERED IT BECOMES A VICTIM OF ITS OWN WELL-WORKING. THE INNER WORKING IMPRINTS THE EXTERNAL AESTETIC STRUCTURE ON IT. AND BREEDS ITS GWN SELECTIVITY. IT INCLUDES OR EXCLUDES. SEEKS ITS HIERARCHY OF QUALITIES AND EVENTUALLY BECCMES AN ESTABLISHED IDEA, NOT ALWAYS ABLE TO PERMUTATE WITH THE NEEDS OF TIME. ANOTHER ADVANTAGE OF HAVING CREATIVE PEGPLE RUN PLACES LIKE THAT IS THAT ONCE IT BECOMES SELF-SERVING, THERE IS NO PLACE TO ACCOMODATE SUCH A THING., UNLESS YOU EXCHANGE YOUR PERSONAL WORK FOR ADMINISTRATIVE WORK. THERE IS A SELF-PRESERVING INSTINCT WITHIN EVERY CREATIVE PERSON; PREFERRING THE SENSE OF CREATIVE FREE-DOM TO BEING BOUND TO A SUCCESSFUL MODEL. INEVITABLY ANY ORGANISATION THAT GETS INTO INNER STRUCTURAL CRDERING HAS TO SCALE TO SOME SENSIBLE ENGIRONMENT, AND THAT IS WERE MY WHOLE INTEREST ENDS. EVERY INSTINCT WITHIN THAT DAYLY OPERATION IS SUPERBLY IMPORTANT. THAT IS WHY I THINK THAT PEOPLE WHO RUN PLACES LIKE THAT HAVE TO BE PRACTICING ARTISTS. OF COURSE THE KITHCEN WAS ONLY AS SUCCESSFUL AS THE ARTIST OF THAT PARTICULAR DAY. IT WAS REBORN EVERY 24 HOURS. OF COURSE THERE WERE FAILURES, YES CATASTROPHES-WONDERFUL CATASTROPHES. A PLACE LIKE THAT WILL ALWAYS RUN INTO THEM, SOMETHING A PLACE DEPENDENT UPON SPONSORS AND ARTS-FOUNDATIONS CAN NOT AFFORD. WE WOULD NOT HAVE FAD A TELEPATIC CONCERT FROM BOSTON, OR UNEDITED RUSHES IF THE EVENT WAS BEING ADVERTISED MONTHS IN ADVANCE, AND THE ARTISTS GETTING A FEE. IN GUR LIFETIME THE KITCHEN WILL BECOME AN ESTABLISHMENT WHICH WE WILL EITHER CG-EXIST WITE, BE ABSORBED BY, OR EVEN REBEL AGAINST. SO THERE IS THIS FRIGHTENING POSSIBILITY THAT OUR GENERATION WILL REPRESENT THE STATUS QUG. IT MAY ALREADY HAVE HAPPENED.

THE DRAWBACK TO SUCH ARTISTIC FREEDOM WAS NO BUDGET
FOR PRODUCTIONS AND NO ARTISTS® FEES.
THE IMPULSE TO INITIATE SUCH A CONCEPT AS THE KITCHEN
SEGULD NOT BE UNDERSTOOD AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE FUNDRISING
INITIATIVE. LOCKING BACK, WE LIVED IN A UNIQUE SITUATION
WNEN THAT ALTERNATE CULTURE MODEL HAD CULMINATED IN
SOME KIND OF A BELIEF THAT IT HAD THE POTENTIAL TO
PERFORM ITS CONTENT WHATEVER THAT MEANT. AND SUDDENLY
WAS READY TO EXPRESS SOMETHING. WE WERE VICTIMS OF OUR
OWN INNOCENCE AND WENT INTO THIS VENTURE WITH THE SIMPLE
ERTY

this potentiar actionty had its interestry to allows.

Sorter

inte 3 Cines S.

Go to King

N